UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,687	07/27/2006	Michael Schoning	SCHONING-3 PCT	8415
25889 COLLARD &	7590 01/24/2008 P.O.F. P.C.	EXAMINER		
COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD			MAY, ROBERT J	
ROSLYN, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2885	
				DEL HERVINORS
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A-41- 0	10/587,687	SCHONING, MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert May	2885				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	October 2007.	•				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is contact the drawing of the drawing	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Application in the second in the se	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· 					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 2885

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poliakine (2003/0146891).

Poliakine discloses in Figure 1, a large area LCD billboard for outdoor advertising comprising reflective LCD elements having a luminosity dependent on intensity and direction of lighting, an artificial lighting device 20 provided on said board 10 comprising a plurality of lamps 20 for illuminating the board from the viewer side for periods when sunlight falling on the board is insufficient, a control system with a light sensor for activating the activation means (Para 0048).

Won disclose a motorized activation means connected to a lighting device for physically moving the light device via tilting, flipping or pulling motion which electrically stabilizes the light head at a predetermined location without relying upon conventional mechanical methods which are inaccurate and have parts which wear down (Col 1, lines 30-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a motorized activation means as taught by Won which electrically stabilizes the light head at a predetermined location without relying

upon conventional mechanical methods which are inaccurate and have parts which wear down

Furthermore, the recitation that the lighting device comprises only two positions being an active position or reserve position is afforded little patentable weight because it is considered functional language without claiming structure enabling such a function. While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. *In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429,1431-32, (Fed. Cir. 1997).* In the present case Poliakine modified by a motorized activation means is capable of having only two light device positions being active and reserve (or inactive position).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 18, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no explicit suggestion by Poliakine to have a motorized activation means to position the lamp in an active or inactive recessed position, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case it

is, as acknowledged by the applicant, that having a motorized means is well within the capability of one having ordinary skill as taught by the reference Won for example and one would be motivated to actuate the lamp position using a motorized activation means in order to obviate the need to manually move each lamp for accurately positioning the lamp without mechanical part wear occurring which would in turn affect said accuracy of the lamp position.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Aikens (5,016,143) and Townsend (5,517,393) disclose various motorized atuation means for moving a lighting device utilizing such motions as flipping, tilting and pulling.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert May whose telephone number is (571) 272-5919. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays 9am-12pm & 1-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jong-Suk (James) Lee can be reached on (571) 272-7044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RM 1/7/08

> JONG-SUK (JAMES) LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER